

# WINSTER COUNCIL

Clerk & Financial Officer: Trisha Dale  
 Ivy Dene, Main Street, Birchover DE4 2BN  
[clerk@winsterCouncil.gov.uk](mailto:clerk@winsterCouncil.gov.uk)

**MINUTES OF ORDINARY MEETING: 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2024, 7:00pm, held at the Jubilee Room, Burton Institute, Winster.**

*These minutes are hereby approved as a true and accurate record of the above meeting following Resolution of the Council at its monthly Council meeting on 7<sup>th</sup> October 2024*

*Signed ..... Chair of meeting*

In attendance: Cllr. Frederickson (Chair), Cllr. Hatfield, Cllr. Brown, Cllr. Geddes, Cllr Stone.  
 Also Present: Trisha Dale (Clerk), Cllr Buckler, 7 members of the public.

No.	Item	AP
09/01/24	<b>Chair announced that the meeting was being recorded as a trial for the recording of minutes only, depending on feedback we may use again going forward</b>	
09/02/24	<b>Apologies</b> Apologies received from Cllr. Randles, Cllr Cooper	
09/03/24	<b>Declaration of Interests</b> Cllr Stone, a non-pecuniary interest was declared for item B under Council Matters.	
09/04/24	<p><b>Reports:</b></p> <p>The Chair welcomed all to the September meeting.</p> <p>A: County Councillor's Report: None this month.</p> <p>B: Borough Councillor's: Cllr Buckler Derbyshire Dales have lots of consultations out at moment, one on Carparks and one on Traveller sites which we would appreciate the Parish Council getting in touch. There are various consultations coming out soon, Derbyshire Dales local plan and National Park Local Plan revision.</p> <p>Work with continues between Derbyshire Dales and Peak District National Park on housing numbers within the Dales and National Park. With the change in Government the national planning policy framework has been revised therefore the locally housing numbers across Derbyshire Dales is significantly higher than previous.</p> <p>Flagging up again the local projects fund, some money for recreation ground lighting. Also, a request for EV charging points had been received from a resident in Winster.</p> <p>Cllr Geddes advised that previously we outlined a scheme to place chargers at the Burton institute, however as it would involve a lot of work a communication was issued via the village magazine asking if anyone would be interested in involvement in a user group to drive forward the idea, no response was received. Cllr Buckler will pass the request to Cllr Geddes but would like to know if there were any others that would be interested. Cllr Geddes stated that there are still some conversations ongoing but is ensure if there is motivation to move this forward.</p> <p>And finally for the next few at Hall Leys Park are running tennis session for pre diabetic/diabetic on behalf of Derbyshire Dales District Council.</p> <p><i>At this stage of proceedings, the Chair moved the Public Participation forward in the meeting. (see below)</i></p> <p>C: Police Report: None this month.</p> <p>D: Chair Report: the Chair was very sorry to announce the resignation of Cllr Robinson. The reason for the resignation is down to pressure of work, he is extremely busy. The Chair express how personally he was very sad that Cllr Robinson has resigned as he is a very able Councillor and gives a lot of expert advice on environment matters. That he is very much involved in the village. The Chair expressed his thanks on behalf of the</p>	<b>DF</b>

	<p>Parish Council for all his work over the years and sticking at issues he had championed. That we all owe him a lot for the work he has done and with everybody's agreement thanks and gave well wishes to Cllr Dave Robinson.</p> <p>The consequences are to put out a notice asking for interest in becoming a Councillor and to initiate the Casual Vacancy Process.</p> <p>Thanks were given to the Clerk for all her work over the last few months. That the Parish Council is immensely served by the Clerk who has managed to get the state of the administration into a better state than the Chair has ever seen before, when examining the accounts and other elements. The Parish Council is very well administered now.</p> <p>When first taking up the post it was clear the clerk was working long hours well above those contracted and although this wasn't and couldn't be expected and was told that she should only work paid contracted hours it has become clear this has been impossible. This has been reviewed a number of times and although we are in a better position it is still not possible to cram all the hours within the 25 month contracted hours.</p> <p>In addition to workload a question on the 2023/24 accounts were raised by a resident (see finance E) which has caused a lot of additional work c36 hours to date which would have cost c£600 in addition to the external auditor that will be reviewing the accounts at a cost of c£350 per hour. This will not only cost a great deal of additional and unplanned money but also time to the Parish Council and village and with this money no longer available for spend on other village projects.</p> <p>Taking the above into account a report to increase the Clerks hours will be produced and put forward as a recommendation at the next meeting following a conversation with the Clerk.</p>	<p><b>DF Clerk</b></p>
<p>09/05/24</p>	<p><b>Public Participation</b></p> <p>Resident 1: Recently has requested planning permission to place an EV charger on the front of their property, the reason for bringing to the Parish Councils attention is twofold. The first being that when the planning was applied for the Highways department raised an objection. It was asserted by Highways that the cobbled area directly in front of the residence was a highway, when it was established years ago that this was not the case, therefore their map clearly needs to be updated. More importantly they claimed that any cables would be a trip hazard on a public highway, other highway departments in the country provide positive advice, placing cable protectors etc therefore resolving the trip hazard, the resident is therefore concerned that Highways will routinely object to anybody applying to be able to charge a vehicle outside their house as the vast majority of houses in towns and villages do not have off street parking, therefore the approach of a blanket ban is not sustainable. It was expressed as disbelief that Highways had/has not considered this as this is not a new venture. The National Peak Park were approached, they stated that they are bound to take note of Highways and that they should withdraw the application, or they will be turned down. There is a general issue, that if Highways has a blanket "NO" and there is going to be a shift to all new cars being electric, this approach is not sustainable, having a few public chargers in the village would not help the situation.</p> <p>The second being, following a visit from Peak Park Conservation representative, there were concerns about a charger being placed at the front of the house, to show that this has already been done he was shown a charger point already in place on another house, the representative didn't think that this was acceptable either. On asking if this is not acceptable what is the alternative? The response was that was the responsibility of the applicant.</p> <p>It appears that this is a completely new idea to both Highways and Peak Park, whilst the residents themselves could use their garage, albeit altered, as an alternative it was felt that this is a Parish Council issue as a blanket "No" from both Peak Park and Highways is an inadequate response. If in the future we are moving to Net Zero and with all new cars being electric by 2035, how will this be enabled in there is no infrastructure. (correspondence to Peak Park forwarded to clerk)</p> <p>Cllr Stone spoke, whilst he agreed with the majority of what has been said, after discussions with a number of people, the issue with cables had been mentioned. This issue is going to affect every village in the British Isles, in Winster this will affect many of</p>	

	<p>the properties, not only the boxes installed at the front of the properties that front onto the Highways so all cables will be trailing everywhere which is going to be a major problem. In addition, it was felt that the box mentioned earlier at another residence is large and Cllr Stone believes that the application for instalment didn't come before this Parish Council. Resident 1, whilst agreeing it was larger than most it isn't exceptionally large. Cllr Geddes believes that on the general principles, and with support, that this is not something that can be dealt with at Parish Council level it is for the National Park Planning, could we ask DALC to pursue this? Can we as a Parish Council write to them? Parallels were discussed with the installation of Gigaclear Broadband where permission is not required for installation of cables or boxes, unlike EV chargers where permission is required. This is a National policy with the Parish Council having no powers of impact. The Chair agree a resolution is needed and that in addition to contacting DALC, MP John Whitby should also receive correspondence on this matter. It was agreed to write to DALC, PDNP and MP John Whitby, Chair to produce a draft letter.</p> <p>On a different matter, following the article in the village magazine that the Common had not been mown due to an objection being raised by a resident against the Parish Council exceeding their legal powers. Clarification was sought as the Parish Council has been maintaining the common for all forty-four years that the resident has lived in Winster and therefore does not understand why this has now become an issue.</p> <p>The resident was advised that this will be discussed later within the meeting.</p> <p>Resident 2. Stated the above-mentioned article was the reason for attendance, that the rationale was not understood and thought this could be contentious with views on both sides. When contentious items have been raised in the past there has been no opportunity/forum for villagers to discuss and put their points forward other than at the Parish Council meetings. In 2012 when there was an issue with parking on the common, where the Clerk issued a notice that nobody was allowed to park on the common, the resident sought villager's views via a Winster Forum giving the opportunity to gain both sides of the argument. The response from most people was that parking was needed on the common with only a few responders disagreeing. When that was published the issue more or less went away. Only one other similar forum has been run, when the Parish Council got into difficulties in 2021. The resident was wondering if this was an issue that should be raised by such a forum, therefore further clarification on the matter would establish the need.</p> <p>Resident 3, raised 3 queries,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Advised the Parish Council that the wall on the westside had been damaged and is overgrown with the stones being placed above the wall.</li> <li>2. That although not raised last year following the robotic mowing of <b>Rockfield</b> a wall has been damaged and needs inspecting near the stile where people have been walking in case it is likely to collapse.</li> <li>3. The resident had not seen the article in the village magazine but was going to ask when the Common was to be mown.</li> <li>4. Following a gas leak a few months ago the resident wrote to the Parish Council advising of the matter. Following work completed by Gigaclear, on inspection of the gas leak a damaged pipe was discovered that had been repaired with plumber tape. That although it is difficult without specific evidence as to who was responsible it was requested that Gigaclear be approached. Cllr Geddes informed the resident that a training manager from Gigaclear was approached on visiting the village, the situation was explained, although surprise and upset were expressed it is unclear if anything had been done. Cllr Brown also reiterated following questions to the Clerk that Gigaclear were made aware of the situation. The resident appreciated that without specific evidence there is not a lot that could be done about it.</li> <li>5. As mentioned in previous meetings the residents wish to express an opinion that any income received from the Cemetery/Burial Ground should remain or be included in the Cemetery/Burial Ground reserve pot rather than be used on other items.</li> </ol> <p>The Chair explained that it was the norm with taxes and fees to be used in such a way, if to remain for specific use this is called hypothecated. At National and Local level money that goes to the local authority and applied to general</p>	DF
--	--	----

	<p>purposes. Although the Chair takes the point but that it is rare for any authority to hypothecate local taxes.</p> <p>The resident explained that from a business perspective that this doesn't work although acknowledged not being fully aware of Council practices in regard to this matter.</p> <p>Cllr Stone advised that he agreed with the resident and had raised this issue. As far as he is aware this Parish Council had passed a resolution to this effect and that although it had not been rescinded the Parish Council had decided to spend funds on other areas of precept.</p> <p>The resident felt aggrieved that money personally paid out to the Cemetery/Burial Ground would be used in other areas of the village. The Chair explained that whilst understanding the resident's views the council did not agree. When the current Parish Council was set up in 2021, they looked at the reserve and felt that putting all the money into this reserve was not appropriate as there was no fully costed plan in place. That they were not in a position to understand if the reserve was being inflated or if there were enough funds for future work required. Once there is a fully costed expansion plan costs/reserves will be revised appropriately.</p> <p>Cllr Stone advised that there was a plan, this has now been costed and needs to be discussed, as this is not on this month's agenda it should be added to the next. The Chair agreed that a proper costed plan will enable the reserve levels to be adjusted.</p> <p>Cllr Brown explained that when the Parish Council came together in 2021, he was in agreement that any funds raised via the Cemetery/Burial Ground should remain in the reserve. However other Councillors expressed that as the costs of the expansion was unknown and that there was unexpected expenditure as a result of what had happened with the previous Parish Council that the reserve changed. Moving forward, now the Parish Council is in a different position this should be discussed at the next Finance meeting with a view to revert to the previous situation where income goes to the appropriate reserve.</p> <p>A date for the next Finance meeting to be agreed.</p>	<p>Clerk</p> <p>MH</p>																														
09/06/24	<p><b>Members Questions to the Chair</b></p> <p>There were no questions to the Chair.</p> <p>The Clerk on behalf of Cllr Randles advised the Parish Council that after receiving complaints from residents that a bin lorry was leaving debris behind, including glass, due to damage to the lorry itself. This has now been resolved, all debris cleared following contact with the various departments.</p>																															
09/07/24	<p><b>Minutes of Last Meeting and Matters Relating Thereto</b></p> <p>A: The minutes of the previous Council meeting held on 8th July 2024 were considered and approved.</p> <p>(Prop: JG 2<sup>nd</sup>: MH; Unan)</p>																															
09/08/24	<p><b>Finance</b></p> <p>A: To note the Council's monetary assets: Royal Bank of Scotland, and for the Chair of the meeting to examine, approve and arrange to sign the bank reconciliation and latest current account statements when available.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="341 1603 1375 2007"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>As at 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2024</th> <th>As at 1<sup>st</sup> August 2024</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Balance brought forward 1st April 2024</td> <td>£44,730.52</td> <td>£25,078.60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Income</td> <td>£25,123.60</td> <td>£9,934.56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Expenditure</td> <td>£11,200.89</td> <td>£59,874.56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Balance</td> <td>£58,653.23</td> <td>£25,078.60</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Last Statement Balance as at 1<sup>st</sup> August 2024</td> <td>£59,994.81</td> <td>£61,270.20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Less un-presented cheques</td> <td>£1,386.58</td> <td>£1,395.64</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Add uncleared deposits</td> <td>£45.00</td> <td>£0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Available Balance 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2024</td> <td>£58,653.23</td> <td>£59,874.56</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		As at 2 <sup>nd</sup> September 2024	As at 1 <sup>st</sup> August 2024	Balance brought forward 1st April 2024	£44,730.52	£25,078.60	Total Income	£25,123.60	£9,934.56	Total Expenditure	£11,200.89	£59,874.56	Balance	£58,653.23	£25,078.60				Last Statement Balance as at 1 <sup>st</sup> August 2024	£59,994.81	£61,270.20	Less un-presented cheques	£1,386.58	£1,395.64	Add uncleared deposits	£45.00	£0.00	Available Balance 2 <sup>nd</sup> September 2024	£58,653.23	£59,874.56	
	As at 2 <sup>nd</sup> September 2024	As at 1 <sup>st</sup> August 2024																														
Balance brought forward 1st April 2024	£44,730.52	£25,078.60																														
Total Income	£25,123.60	£9,934.56																														
Total Expenditure	£11,200.89	£59,874.56																														
Balance	£58,653.23	£25,078.60																														
Last Statement Balance as at 1 <sup>st</sup> August 2024	£59,994.81	£61,270.20																														
Less un-presented cheques	£1,386.58	£1,395.64																														
Add uncleared deposits	£45.00	£0.00																														
Available Balance 2 <sup>nd</sup> September 2024	£58,653.23	£59,874.56																														

B: To approve and instruct the payment by cheque, of presented invoiced items, including the following:

Paid in August:

- i) T. Dale – Salary – and expenses - £21.60+ £343.20 = £364.80
- ii) F. Purslow – Salary and expenses – £7.47 + £231.38 = £238.85
- iii) Netwise – Server/web - £396.00
- iv) St John's Church – Clock donation - £75.00
- v) Russel's – Lawn Mower repairs - £144.00
- vi) D. Robinson – reimbursement Petrol for Lawn Mower - £27.93
- vii) WaterPlus – Utilities – Paid DD 1st July – 20.27

September payments:

- i) T. Dale – Salary - £343.20
- ii) F. Purslow – Salary and expenses – £27.92 + £368.12 = £396.04
- iii) E. Smith – Cleaning - £140.00
- iv) WaterPlus – Utilities – DD paid 30th July - £19.75
- v) DM Payroll – Payroll services - £66.00
- vi) HMRC – Employer Contributions Quarter 1 plus Quarter 2 to-date - £703.83

Clerk advised the Parish Council that she has again received notification that there are still some outstanding payments due to HMRC relating to unpaid/underpaid employee contributions in 2020/21. The Clerk is currently investigating these and asked that any monies outstanding to be paid as the Parish Council will be charged interest.

In addition, late submissions:

- i) Simon Wood Gardening Services – Buffer Maintenance - £170.00
- ii) Simon Wood Gardening Services – Reimbursement of bollards - £57.60
- iii) Simon Wood Gardening Services – installation of bollards - £100.00
- iv) Simon Wood Gardening Services – Village Toilet Cleaning - £40.00

C: To note the income and receipts of the Council.

- i) Royal Bank of Scotland – Interest - £195.18 (paid in August)
- ii) Honesty Box - £45.00

(Prop: JG 2nd: DF; Unan)

D: The changes to Finance Regulations agreed at the Finance meeting held on the 12<sup>th</sup> August, circulated to all Councillors and post on the Parish Council website were reviewed and adopted.

(Prop: JG 2nd: AS; Unan)

E: An update on 2023/24 Audit was given by the Clerk.

As previously mentioned, there has been a challenge to the Parish Councils accounts. To date no further correspondence has been received by the external auditor but is expected very soon.

A few of the challenges raised have been reviewed and adopted. Such as initialling of the cheque counter foil and re instatement of the internal Parish Council audit.

There were a couple of bigger issues raised. One being the contractual status of the Village Toilet Cleaner. The Cleaner has now resigned with the vacancy on the agenda under Council Matters. Unfortunately, Clerk was not aware that the Parish Council did not have ownership of the Common and that it is in fact unregistered, regardless of it being included as an asset of the Parish Council on the asset register. As the Common is unregistered the Parish Council only has the powers to protect the land, hence being able to place bollards to stop the land being damaged etc. the Council do not have the powers to spend money on maintaining the land. Moving forward at this stage the only powers of spend we could use is via S137. We do not know at this stage what the repercussions will be for the spend in 2023/24, we have spent a lot of time getting to this position, trying to establish what rules/powers apply being in contact with DALC and various organisations.

Putting aside the audit we need to agree the approach to the common land.

The Chair would like the Parish Council to agree that to give the Council the ability to maintain the common by using section S137 if there is going to be a serious delay, as a lot of residents are complaining that the cut and collect hasn't happened and are somewhat upset that this is due to a challenge to the accounts.

Cllr Brown asked if the S137 provided for a limited spend, in response it was confirmed that this is correct and is based on an amount per elector but we should be able to cover

	<p>the costs. However, to note that funding the maintenance via the S137 would leave very little monies to spend on another project.</p> <p>Cllr Geddes asked if we spend the money and could we the reverse the monies out as covered by any powers once the legalities have been resolved. It was thought that this was possible but would need to be checked.</p> <p>Cllr Stone queried why we were looking at this year's maintenance as the challenge related to 2023/24 not 2024/25. It was explained that it would be unwise to do anything that was incorrect based on the previous year.</p> <p>It was agreed, until resolution of powers that the costs would be via Section S137. (Prop: JG 2nd: AS; Unan)</p> <p>Cllr Brown asked, if we have maps showing land that we believed to be owned by the Parish Council and an asset register which has not change since he joined the Parish Council how is this the case. Does anyone know when the Common was placed on the asset register? Cllr Stone as a land standing member of the Parish Council was asked if he knew. In response Cllr Stone advised that he always thought that they were guardians of the common but not understood that this did not allow maintenance of the common.</p> <p>The Chair advised that back in 1980 the then Clerk informed a tribunal that the Parish Council didn't own the land. In addition, following recent research it also came to light that 2012 the Parish Council were aware of the situation including not having the powers to spend on maintaining the Common Land.</p> <p>Cllr Stone advised that he had contact Open Spaces and shared their response with the Councillors; under the 1899 provision you can cut grass as do a number of residents within the village. The advice provided some suggestions moving forward.</p> <p>Cllr Brown felt that it suggested that the current audit challenge was not clear cut that it could be possibly disputed. The Clerk advised that we are still waiting for responses from the auditor and investigating further and that this was only one of many strands taking place at the moment.</p> <p>Cllr Geddes asked if this calls in to question the whole of the asset register. The Clerk responded that it did. That following the Finance meeting the asset register was send to all Councillors, with Councillors being assigned various items on the list to be checked, including land.</p> <p>F: The Parish Council have received a revised contracted from DM Payroll which increases the annual payments by £16.00 per annum commencing in the 2025/26 Financial year. It was agreed to accept the contract. (Prop: DF 2nd: DB; Unan)</p>	
09/09/24	<p><b>Council Matters</b></p> <p>A: No update on Playground replacement and funding was given.</p> <p>B: An update on the Management plan was given. The maintenance aspect was discussed earlier with cost covered via S137.</p> <p>Following Cllr Robinson's resignation, a discussion will be held on establishing a new lead Councillor in readiness for the next meeting. Cllr Brown commented that prior to the current complications costs of maintenance was reducing therefore savings could be used to implement regular cuts providing for a better balance on mowing and wild flowering meadows. This will be included in discussions moving forward. It was also suggested that a couple of residents join the working group, which received no objections.</p> <p>The Clerk advised the Parish Council that a petition signed by 133 petitioners had been received in relation to grass cutting at Leacroft. The Clerk read out the following: "We call on Winster Parish Council to reconsider its decision to turn Leacroft Green into a flower rich meadow and take immediate action to reinstate grass cutting services at Leacroft Green for the rest of this, and future, growing seasons."</p> <p>Cllr Stone raised this issue at the June meeting where it was agreed that Leacroft Green would be cut in readiness for the Carnival, as on the lead up to the carnival the area looked unkempt. The agreement to cut the area was included in the minutes, however it was only the judging area that was cut. It is believed that this prompted the petition.</p>	

	<p>Looking at the 2021 census and the numbers of petitioners it appears that just under a quarter of residents are not in favour of conditions at Leacroft Green.</p> <p>Cllr Brown said that he agreed the area did not look good at this time and could understand why it was not palatable and a balance should be considered. On receipt of the petition, he felt that the Parish Council had three options:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ignore the petition and continue with the project.</li> <li>2. Cease Wildflower meadow and reinstate the cutting.</li> <li>3. A compromise/agreed solution.</li> </ol> <p>Whilst not personally in favour of petitions generally, as they do not allow for an opposing view and the possibility petitioners signing without fully understanding the situation, however in this case it does appear to reflect the majority view that something needs to change.</p> <p>Cllr Hatfield was in favour for a compromise moving forward, to look into cutting that would not impact on wildflower that had seeded.</p> <p>The Chair raised that this was a Derbyshire Dales policy re wilding verges where possible, and that Winster Parish Council were happy to support the policy. Cllr Buckler was asked for DDDC view. It was explained that yes this was the policy and that they work with Parish Councils that are interested but do not impose it on those that are not. In response to a compromise, the District Council receive equal numbers of letters from individuals that want no cutting and those that do, what would be useful is to understand the rationale behind this. Is it because the piece of land is used for play, is it because it looks scruffy? If the latter, then something can be done about this to improve the situation and things will improve in time. At the end of the season the situation at each wilding site will be discussed to identify improvements that can be made.</p> <p>Cllr Stone, after speaking to residents, advised that the 133 people signing the petition are not looking for a compromise, they want the grass cutting to the same standard as that outside numbers 11 and 12 Leacroft Green whilst Cllr Brown had a different response when talking to residents they were in favour of a compromise.</p> <p>Two proposals were put forward to the vote:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Cllr Brown proposed that the Parish Council either compromise on the current wildflower meadow strategy or revert back to the original cut. Seconded by Cllr Stone. Voting by show of hands – In favour: Cllr Brown, Cllr Stone, Against: Cllr Frederickson, Cllr Hatfield, Cllr Geddes.</li> <li>2. Cllr Geddes proposed that the Parish Council should, as there is no urgency due to all options requiring a September cut, take time over the winter period to consider, formulate and consult in readiness to agree a way forward. Seconded Cllr Frederickson. Voting by show of hands – In favour: Cllr Frederickson, Cllr Hatfield, Cllr Geddes, Against: Cllr Brown, Cllr Stone.</li> </ol> <p>Proposal 2 passed by the absolute majority.</p> <p>A consultation to be arrange with residents, especially those on Leacroft, to discuss the matter.</p> <p>C: There was nothing to report on the request under Freedom of Information on housing.</p> <p>D: A brief update on a pathway from Florence Gladwin Close to the Play Area was given. All paperwork, forms and quotes for funding have been sent. A map of the area will follow.</p> <p>E: A brief discussion on traffic issues was discussed. Concerns have been raised regarding speeding traffic through the village and the Parish Council will go back to and follow up the 20 mph requests. Redoubling efforts especially near the school and including the 60mph at the top of the village.</p> <p>The speed watch group are regularly capturing 1 – 3 cars speeding in each session and plans are for more sessions to take place.</p> <p>F: No further update on repairs to Burma Road was given.</p>	
--	--	--

	<p>G: Following the resignation of the Cleaner the Parish Council agreed to advertise for the role. As an interim solution it was agreed that S. Wood Service would continue until a resolution is agreed.</p> <p>H: There were no items to note and, or urgently required to discuss that merited the Council's attention.</p>	
09/10/24	<p><b>Planning:</b></p> <p>A: The following were discussed, considered and instruction reporting the Council's views on applications received are available to view on <a href="http://www.peakdistrict.gov.uk">www.peakdistrict.gov.uk</a>, including the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) NP/DDD/0724/0719 - East Bank Cottage, East Bank, Winster. New pitched roofs to replace existing flat roofs. Replacement window. Canopy over rear entrance door. <b>No objections raised.</b></li> <li>ii) NP/DDD/0724/0771 - Tite Cottage, Main Street, Winster. Listed Building consent - Install an EV charger on the façade to allow the owners to charge their hybrid electric car. This item was discussed earlier (see Public Participation Resident 1), <b>No objections raised from Winster Parish Council.</b> A letter to be sent to Peak District National Park on the situation of EV points strategy.</li> <li>iii) NP/DDD/0824/0855 - Wyntor House, Main Street, Winster. Application to enclose the remaining open fronted section of the existing front porch, replacing the existing flat roof with a pitched roof in natural slate; extend the existing garage roof to meet the house gable wall; Extend existing garage front wall to meet the proposed front porch wall. <b>No objections raised.</b></li> </ul> <p>B: To note any planning decisions as notified by the Peak District National Park Authority: None this month.</p>	
09/11/24	<p><b>Correspondence &amp; Circulars:</b></p> <p>Noted and discussed, correspondence received and pre-circulated to Members by email, unless otherwise indicated, including the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) DCC – Parish and Town Council Liaison Forum, Road Closures, Bus Service improvement Plan, Community news, Mobile Library.</li> <li>ii) DDDC– Review of 2024 Policy on House to House and Street Collections, District Council Car Park Review, Tennis for Health, Police Cybercrime Online Safety, Police Crime Commissioner Consultation.</li> <li>iii) Public Sector Executive – various.</li> <li>iv) PDNPA – National Park Management Plan.</li> <li>v) DALC – Newsletters.</li> <li>vi) PPPF – Parishes Day, We Need You, The New Local Plan, Planning Training for Councillors.</li> <li>vii) Derbyshire Wildlife – Derbyshire Wildlife and Derwent Living Forest.</li> <li>viii) Rural Services – Share Your News.</li> </ul>	
09/12/24	<p><b>Items for the Village Magazine:</b></p> <p>Acknowledgement of the Petition and response from the Parish Council</p>	<b>DF</b>
	<p><b>The next Council Meeting will take place at 7.00pm on Monday 7<sup>th</sup> October 2024, at the Jubilee Room, Burton Institute, Winster.</b></p> <p><b>Meeting closed at 8.25pm.</b></p>	